	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/074,132	ANSELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donald Heckenberg	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apply or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 19 May 2006.			
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>51,53-59,61 and 62</u> .			
 3.	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply MENT of this application. hitted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declara st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO s Amendment / Comment or in the C 84(c)) should be written on the drawing the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL In	complying with the recomplying action of the recomplying in the front (not the recomplying).	quirements IOTICE OF
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P 6. ☑ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ☑ Examiner's Amendr 8. ☐ Examiner's Stateme 9. ☐ Other	(PTO-413), te <u>062006</u> . nent/Comment	ŕ
•			

Application/Control Number: 10/074,132

Art Unit: 1722

1. An Examiner's Amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to Applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Page 2

Authorization for this Examiner's Amendment was given in a telephone interview with Joseph Kincart (Applicant's Representative) on 19 June 2006.

IN THE CLAIMS

In Claim 51, "depression or" at line 14 has been deleted.

In Claim 51, "depression or" at line 17 has been deleted.

Claim 52 has been cancelled.

In Claim 53, "depression or" at line 1 has been deleted.

In Claim 54, "depression or" at line 1 has been deleted.

2. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Art Unit: 1722

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest a mold for forming a contact lens as defined in the claims of the instant application. The prior art is demonstrated Su et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,574,554); Crowe, Jr. et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,975,875); and Doke et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,071,111).

Su and Crowe both disclose apparatus for making contact lenses as described in the previous Office Actions. Notably, both references include a protrusion on a flange structure of the mold portions in order to delineate an overflow collector for the apparatus (see Doke, Fig. 17; Su, Fig. 13). Neither of the references, however, teaches or suggests the protrusion not to be present around the entire circumference of the flange on which it is formed.

Crowe also a mold device for making contact lenses as is described in the previous Office Actions. Notably, Crowe discloses a protrusion/tooth (72) extending from the flange of the one of the mold portions in order to promote adhesion to excess polymer during the demolding operation (cl. 5, ll. 57-61). The protrusion/tooth is not present about the entire circumference of the flange. The reference, however, places the protrusion on the flange of the convex portion of the molding apparatus, as opposed to placement on the flange of the concave molding portion as is defined in the claims of the instant

application. Moreover, given the purpose of the protrusion/tooth to adhere to excess polymer ring during demolding, the reference appears to teach away from any suggestion of placement of the protrusion on the other mold portion. Still further, the reference fails to contain any teaching or suggestion of the placement of the protrusion being about 1.0 mm to about 1.5 mm as defined in the claims of the instant application.

Any comments considered necessary by Applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Heckenberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-1131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, can be reached at (571) 272-1316. The official fax phone number for

Application/Control Number: 10/074,132 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

6-19-6

Primary Examiner

A.U. 1722